

WFOT Congress 2022 – Pre Congress Workshop Scoring Sheet

Submission Number:

| | Criteria | Score | Notes |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------|
| Workshop Title | Is the topic important, interesting and relevant to occupational therapy? | Yes / No / Not sure | |
| Quality of Content | Rationale / Background: <i>Does the submission provide a clear rationale / background?</i> | / 5 | |
| | Learning Objectives: <i>Has the author clearly described the learning outcomes for participants? Are the objectives measurable, achievable and supported by interactive learning activities?</i> | / 5 | |
| | Instructional Methods: <i>Are the methods of teaching clearly outlined? Do they facilitate learning?</i> | / 10 | |
| | Structure of workshop and participant engagement activities <i>Has the author clearly explained the structure of the workshop and what is required of the participants? Is the workshop likely to provoke discussion and interaction between participants with potential for development opportunities?</i> | / 10 | |
| Educational Value | Interest and appeal to occupational therapy audience: <i>Does the content reflect an occupation-focussed approach? Is it relevant to a global occupational therapy audience?</i> | / 5 | |
| | Important contribution to research / practice / theory / knowledge and /or novel or innovative contribution - relevant to Congress themes <i>Does the workshop indicate possibility of changing current practice by facilitating knowledge translation? How does it inform practice? Does it add to current body of work in this area? Is it evidence based?</i> <i>Is the information novel / unique / innovative in some way? Is the approach / methodology new or different? Are the ideas presented thought provoking?</i> | / 10 | |
| Quality of written submission | Coherent and readable: <i>Should be clear on first reading, logical sequence, concise and coherent. Remember that English may not be the first language of many authors.</i> | / 5 | |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | / 50 | |

SCORING CRITERIA

The below scoring criteria is provided to assist you in your score out of 50.

Quality of the Presentation Content (30 points)

Use the following rating system:

| | |
|----------------|--|
| Low Score | Unacceptable: information is incomplete or absent |
| ↓ | Marginal: missing key information or description |
| Moderate Score | Acceptable: provides some information but not overly informative |
| ↓ | Good: provides the majority of key information |
| High Score | Exceptional: informative and comprehensive |

Educational Value (15 points)

Use the following rating system:

| | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Low Score | Unacceptable; incomplete; absent |
| ↓ | |
| Moderate Score | Acceptable |
| ↓ | |
| High Score | Exceptional |

Quality of written submission (5 points)

Use the following rating system:

| | |
|----------------|--|
| Low Score | Unacceptable; comprehension difficulty |
| ↓ | |
| Moderate Score | Acceptable |
| ↓ | |
| High Score | Exceptional |