

WFOT Congress 2022 – Abstract Scoring Sheet (Oral and Poster)

Abstract Number:

	Criteria	Score	Notes
Abstract Title	Does the title reflect the content of the paper?	Yes / No / Not sure	
Quality of Content	Introduction / Rationale: <i>Provides clear background for the rest of the abstract and reinforced in conclusion.</i>	/ 5	
	Objectives: <i>Must outline the aims or expectations of the project / presentation.</i>	/ 5	
	Methods / Approach: <i>Must provide clear explanation of the methodology and must be appropriate to the objectives and rationale of project / presentation.</i>	/ 10	
	Results and or Practice Implications: <i>Must indicate clearly the findings of the project and they must be consistent with the methodology and objectives. If results not reported, preliminary data must be mentioned along with strong likelihood of having meaningful results by the Congress.</i>	/ 10	
	Conclusion: <i>Must be consistent with the introduction or rationale and objectives, so that the information is complete.</i>	/ 5	
Educational Value	Interest and appeal to occupational therapy audience: <i>What would be of interest? Is the content relevant to an occupation-based approach? Does it bring perspective to current practice?</i>	/ 5	
	Important contribution to research / practice / theory / knowledge and /or novel or innovative contribution - relevant to Congress themes <i>Does the abstract indicate possibility of changing current practice by facilitating knowledge translation? How does it inform practice? Does it add to current body of work in this area? Is it evidence-based?</i> <i>Is the information novel / unique / innovative in some way? Is the approach / methodology new or different? Do results provide support for a new approach or for changing an accepted approach? Are the ideas presented thought provoking?</i>	/ 5	
Quality of written abstract	Coherent and readable: <i>Should be clear on first reading, logical sequence, concise and coherent. Remember that English may not be the first language of many authors.</i>	/ 5	
	TOTAL SCORE:	/ 50	

SCORING CRITERIA

The below scoring criteria is provided to assist you in your score out of 50.

Quality of the Presentation Content (35 points)

Use the following rating system:

Low Score	Unacceptable: information is incomplete or absent
↓	Marginal: missing key information or description
Moderate Score	Acceptable: provides some information but not overly informative
↓	Good: provides the majority of key information
High Score	Exceptional: informative and comprehensive

Educational Value (10 points)

Use the following rating system:

Low Score	Unacceptable; incomplete; absent
↓	
Moderate Score	Acceptable
↓	
High Score	Exceptional

Quality of written abstract (5 points)

Use the following rating system:

Low Score	Unacceptable; comprehension difficulty
↓	
Moderate Score	Acceptable
↓	
High Score	Exceptional